

SINCE 1976

The Police Marksman®

ISSN 0164-8365


**FIREARMS
TRAINING
ISSUE**

VOL. XXV NO. 6 • NOVEMBER/DECEMBER 2000

Instinctive Shooting International, Inc. Training Course

See Page 36

Visit PMA's
New Redesigned
Home page at
www.policemarksman.com



WHY IS THE SUSPECT SHOT IN THE BACK?

*Finally, Hard Data on How Fast the Suspect Can Be —
in 11 Different Shooting Scenarios*

By: Bill Lewinski, Ph.D

We have always known that generally action beats reaction. And, we've been able to demonstrate this in a variety of civilian and law enforcement situations. Since the invention of the shot timer, we've known how quickly officers can react in shooting situations. Now, for the first time, we have accurate, sophisticated measurements on how quickly the suspects in the street can actually do what they do in 11 different kinds of shooting scenarios. Some of you may find the results depressing, for this research proves that in the street, action really does beat reaction. For others, it will provide a clear explanation for problems, such as why so many suspects get shot in the back. Readers should find the results of this study truly amazing - the implications for law enforcement training are profound.

In a previous article in *The Police Marksman*, (September/October, 1999) Dave Grossi and I presented my research data on three movements subjects have done in officer-involved shootings. In this current research those movements have been modified to make them more consistent with some types of street shooting situations. This research also measures

the time for suspect movement in 9 other street shooting situations.

It's important for readers to remember that the average officer, with his finger on the trigger, and being psychologically set, is able to "react" to a shot timer and pull the trigger of his weapon in about a quarter to a third of a second. I'm currently working on research on this topic with an entire police department, and the preliminary data indicates it is closer to a third of a second or even longer for most officers to react (at least with that department). Some officers are quicker and others are slower. Keep this "average" reaction time in mind as you read about the different motions studied, and learn just how fast the suspects action can be.

The purpose of both the current and previous research was not to replicate any specific shooting situation, for this would be functionally impossible. It was not the intent to come up with measurements that could represent all population samples, for instance from the young to old and the fit to unfit. Instead, the approach was to begin to come to an understanding of some of the time parameters of the specific motions studied, so that more intelligent conversions can be conducted regarding officer shooting situations by tactical instructors, internal affairs or shooting review boards, attorneys and judges. This is not a study on the biomechanics of shooting. For instance, in the combat tuck shooting motion, the concern was only with the time of the motion. The timer started when the subject started his motion, and ended when the camera recorded the discharge of flame from the barrel. Whether the subject pulled from the elbow or shoulder was not relevant to this study, nor whether he rolled the weapon over his belt and discharged it, or pulled it high and then dropped it low. I was simply concerned with beginning to define the time parameters so the law enforcement community can now know how fast these

motions really can be.

The population for the study was 25 subjects who, for the most part, were undergraduate majors in the Law Enforcement Program at Minnesota State University, Mankato. Most of the subjects had not received firearms training or ever handled a firearm. All of the motions in the study were self initiated, and therefore were "action" motions to which an officer would presumably be "reacting." The weapon used in the study was a Smith and Wesson .22 calibre revolver, armed with black powder blanks.

Each subject did five repetitions of each motion. The motions were recorded on a Canon GL1 digital video camera in the frame mode. The speed of the camera was 30 frames per second. The camera and motions were cross matched with a digital timer that was accurate to 1/1,000 of a second. The error factor in the timing is a plus or minus 03/100ths of a second for each of the motions studied.

A more extensive statistical analysis than is presented in this article is available. However, the most important data for officers to know is the average time, and specifically the fastest time in which these motions can be done. In an actual street encounter, an officer will never know whether he is facing the fastest, slowest or just the average person. The only way an officer can ensure his survival is to prepare for, and react as if he's facing the fastest person out there. All of the motions studied here have come from actual officer-involved shootings, and reflect the real-life threats or circumstances.

**1 GUN AT CONSOLE/CROSS
BODY/DRIVER'S WINDOW
DISCHARGE**
- 15/100ths of a Second

The first motion studied simulated a vehicle stop where the suspect has a

ERGO Grip™
fits AR-15/M-16 Family

Fits With No
Modifications for
Better Weapon Control

\$16.97
plus \$3.50 S/H

ERGO GRIP
PO BOX 423
Tijeras, NM 87059

TOLL-FREE
1-877-281-3783
www.gunguide.com

Circle No. 31 on Reader Info Card

weapon hidden beside the right thigh/next to the console, and shoots at the officer as he approaches the driver's side door post. The subjects were instructed to hide the gun by their right thigh. Then, on their own initiative, to move the weapon from the right thigh position, across the body, point it slightly over and to the rear of the left shoulder and discharge the weapon. They were instructed to do this as quickly as possible. The average time from start to discharge was 25/100ths of a second. The fastest time for this motion, and there were several subjects who were close to this, is 15/100ths of a second, or almost twice as fast as an average officer can

2 GUN AT CONSOLE/CROSS BODY/PASSENGER'S WINDOW DISCHARGE

- 09/100ths of a Second

The second motion studied was another automobile simulation, where the suspect is in the front passenger's seat and has reached across his body to the console position with his right hand. The suspect



pull the trigger on his weapon, if he is set with his finger on the trigger and ready to fire. If in this scenario, the "reactive" officer has his weapon drawn and in the "bootleg" position, he doesn't stand a chance to react and return fire before at least one, and more likely three or more rounds are fired in his direction.

YOUR NEW S.O.P.

Safety • Operation • Performance

Add a new page to your safety protocol with the ultimate performance of the PRO-3® duty holster:



When it comes to safety, operation and performance, the excellent retention and swift, natural draw of the PRO-3 is a hard combination to beat. Available in rugged Cordura® and the leather look of Mirage®.

A molded retention strap/thumb break resists blading attempts.

A patented internal locking mechanism resists snatching attempts.

A tensioning screw provides a secure fit.



Dedicated to Duty™


Visit our web site or send for a free catalog to SIDEKICK PROFESSIONAL, P.O. Box 1690, Oregon City, OR 97045
PHONE: (503) 655-7964 • FAX: (503) 655-7546 • www.sidekick-pro.com © 2000 Michaels of Oregon Co.



then pulls the weapon from beside the left thigh/console position, swings the barrel of his weapon across his body and back, so it is pointed to the rear of his right shoulder. He then discharges the weapon toward the passenger's side door post. This simulates a shooting on a passenger side approach, by a suspect who has a weapon hidden near the console. The average time for this motion was 26/100ths of a second, with the fastest time being 09/100ths of a second. This is three times faster than the average officer (who is set to fire) can pull the trigger.

3 GUN IN WAISTBAND/ COMBAT TUCK/ DISCHARGE

- 09/100ths of a Second



The third and fourth motion studied involved the "furtive" threatening motion where the subject reaches

toward the waistband – presumably for a weapon. In this third motion, the subjects were requested to place the weapon in their waistband and then, on their own

initiative, pull and fire in a very close "combat tuck" maneuver. Again, the timing started with the first frame in which movement occurred, and ended with the first frame recording the weapon discharge. This is a definite skill maneuver, and would get faster with practice, but the subjects were not allowed to practice. As is, the average time, from the start of the motion to discharge, was 23/100ths of a second, with the fastest time being 09/100ths of a second, or about three times faster than the average officer would be able to pull the trigger if he were set to react to this movement. An officer caught in the open in a "Dodge City showdown," (with even the average subject in this study) literally would not stand a chance if the subject has his hand at his waistband, an actual weapon in that waistband, the intent to shoot, and any accuracy at all with his weapon.

4 GUN IN WAISTBAND/ ARM EXTENDED/ DISCHARGE

- 09/100ths of a Second



The fourth movement involved the same waist band position, but this time the subject was to pull the gun from the waistband, extend the arm fully and discharge the weapon. The average time for this motion was 26/100ths of a second, with the fastest being 09/100ths of a second. Again, this is three times faster than an officer who is already set with target acquisition and finger on trigger can react with a trigger pull. There was a very tight distribution on this motion and the vast majority of subjects were able to fire their weapons before the time the average officer would've reacted and fired theirs.

In a street situation involving the "furtive" move to the waistband, if the officer had a finger on the trigger and waited before firing until the average suspect initiated a movement pulling the weapon out of the waist band, the two bullets would pass each other in the air. If the suspect was as fast as some of the subjects in the study, the officer would not even be able to think of squeezing the trigger before the suspect would have fired his weapon. Further, if an officer was to wait to identify that the suspect

actually did have a weapon, the fastest suspect would be able to discharge at least two rounds before the average officer could react with one. The implications for officer safety are profound.

The next series of movements involved suspects running away from an officer, and then turning back in some fashion, firing at the officer and coming back into a full run position. In my previous research, I had looked at the time to complete the full motion of turning, pointing, firing and then returning to the running position. Suspects in the street may or may not "throw shots" back at an officer in this fashion. It is equally probable for the suspect to, while running, hold the weapon pointed at an officer and fire several times before he pulls back into a full forward running position. Therefore I needed to start the timing of the motion from the point at which the suspect would no longer be a threat to the officer. Also, it seemed more important to determine when the suspect's back might be fully exposed to the officer, so the officer's round would directly enter the

Magnum

Tactical Supply

Nylon Tactical Equipment & Screen Printed Items

Now on the Web!!


Come check us out at
www.magnumtactical.com

CALL TODAY FOR MORE INFORMATION!

770-534-9068

2130-C Hilton Drive - Gainesville, Georgia 30501

- Less-Lethal
- CERT Teams
- K9 Units
- Fire/EMS
- SRT Units
- Tactical EMT's



Circle No. 28 on Reader Info Card

back at an approximate 90 degree angle rather than the time in which the subject was again into a full running position. Subsequently in the next movements, (numbers 5,6, and 7) the measurements begin when the subject ceases firing, and end when the subject's back is directly exposed to the officer, so a bullet would enter at approximately a 90 angle.

5 GUN EXTENDED BACK STRONG SIDE/DROP OFF TO SQUARE BACK

- 00/100ths of a Second



The fifth movement was a recreation of a shooting scenario with a suspect running away from an officer. The weapon in his strong arm, pointing the weapon back on his strong side, toward the officer, firing at the officer and then coming back into a full forward running position. The subject in the study was instructed to run away from the "fictional officer,"

point the gun back, pull the trigger and then bring the weapon back into a bent arm running position. All of this was to be done as quickly as possible. Because suspects in the street could shoot one or more rounds at an officer before returning to a full forward running motion, the timing of the movement started after the subject pulled the trigger and then moved into the "drop off" position where the barrel of the weapon would no longer be pointed directly at the officer, and subsequently no longer be an immediate, direct threat.

This "drop off" position was the start of the return of the arm to a running movement, and happened in different ways. Sometime the subjects simply turned the barrel as they started to bring the weapon forward and into the arm position for running. Sometimes they dropped their hand by a few inches or twisted it away. The timing of the motion ended when the subject had returned to a "square back" running position. The "square back" position is one where the subject would be moving in a straight line directly away from the officer and in the same direction as the officer would be facing. The "square back" would be at an 80-90 degree shoulder angle to the lateral plane of the movement of the subject. In this study, in almost all subjects, the hip or waist was often "square back" to the "officer" for the full motion of rotating and shooting, and did not shift from this position, so the measurement for this study was done on only the shoulder axis. Even in the full 90 degree "square back" position almost all subjects had a slight forward lean to their upper body. The angle of forward lean was not measured in this study. All actions were completed and the measure-

ments were done within 20 yards of the subject starting to run.

The usual time from the frame where the weapon was directly pointed back at the officer in "discharge" position to "drop off" was one frame or 03/100ths of a second. Subsequently there was very little difference in the overall time from "weapon discharge" to "square back" and "drop off" to "square back."


In this fifth movement or "strong arm back" position, the average time to go from the "drop off" position to a "square back" position was 14/100ths of a second. The fastest time was 0/100ths of a second. In other words, in the fastest return, the subject had flexible shoulders and was able to extend the arm back without much upper body rotation. From this position, the mere act of changing the direction of the barrel seemed to release the pressure on the shoulder, and let him return to a full "square back" run position immediately. In general, regardless of how fast the subject was running, he was able to return from a "weapon discharge" position to a full "square back" run position within half a stride or a little more. In some cases the subject's back was so

Learn about Target Operating System with our Free 5 Minute Video



Gravity Turning Target Operator System

- Gravity operated, no power needed.
- Sharpens reaction times.
- Challenges Decision making skills.
- Simple to use and works reliably.



"... allowing even a small agency with a low budget and a borrowed range to create challenging, tactical scenarios..."

Emanuel Kapelsohn
The Police Marksman, July/August 1995

2000 USA Prices
1-3 units — \$299.95 ea.
4 or more — \$269.95 ea.
Add \$20/unit shipping/handling
Continental USA.



TARGET OPERATOR SYSTEMS

GARY S. MARBUT, OWNER

P.O. Box 16106 • Missoula, MT 59808 • 800-549-1252 • 406-549-1212 FAX
Home Page: <http://www.bigsky.net/tos> • e-mail: tos@bigsky.net

Circle No. 20 on Reader Info Card

square to the “fictional officer” as they were shooting, that even if the officer was to discharge his weapon at the subject as the subject’s weapon was pointed at them, and continued to be trained at them for all intents and purposes, the bullet would still enter the subject’s back at very close to 90 degrees.

6 GUN CROSS BODY/ OVER SHOULDER/DROP OFF TO SQUARE BACK

- 00/100ths of a Second



The sixth movement was a recreation of a shooting scenario with the suspect running away from an officer with the weapon in his strong arm. The suspect then looked over his weak side shoulder, brought the weapon across the body, pointed it back toward the officer, over


his weak side shoulder, discharged the weapon and pulled the weapon and hand back into a bent arm run position. The subjects were instructed to do this motion as quickly as possible. The timing started from the weapon “drop off” position and ended when the subject was square backed. The drop off position here was the turning away of the barrel from the direction of the officer – however that was accomplished. The average time to return from the “drop off” position to a full “square back” position was 09/100ths of a second. Again, the fastest was

7 GUN CROSS BODY/ UNDER ARM/DROP OFF TO SQUARE BACK

- 00/100ths of a Second

The seventh movement was a recreation of a shooting scenario with the suspect running away from an officer, with the weapon in his strong arm. The suspect then looks over his weak side shoulder, brings the weapon across the body and under the arm/shoulder of the weak side. The suspect then points it back

toward the officer, discharges the weapon and pulls the weapon and hand back into a bent arm run position. The subjects were instructed to do this as quickly as possible. The timing started from the weapon “drop off” position and ended when the subject was square backed. The




▲ TAP URBAN delivers maximum energy transfer through a unique combination of penetration and dramatic fragmentation.



TAP™ URBAN™

Now there's an ammo specifically designed for police using rifles and carbines in urban environments: TAP Urban, new from Hornady. TAP Urban's advanced-design polymer-tipped bullet is incredibly accurate, and won't deform during loading and unloading. On impact, the tip causes dramatic fragmentation, which provides 100% energy transfer to the target for instant terminal results. This reduces the need for repeat shots and lowers the chances of collateral damage from over-penetration or ricochets.



HORNADY TAP™ URBAN &
POLICE
HORNADY
TAP™
AMMUNITION.



drop off position here was the turning away of the barrel from the direction of the officer – however that was accomplished. The average time to return from the “drop off” position to a full “square back” position was 13/100ths of a second. The fastest was 0/100ths of a second. Again, in some subjects, the drop off of the weapon was all that was needed to return them to the position where, if an officer was to hit them with a bullet at that point, the bullet would enter at an approximate 90 degree angle to the lateral plane.

Regardless of how the weapon was pointed back at the “fictional” officer as the subject ran away, it was apparent in most subjects that almost no hip rotation and little shoulder or upper body rotation was used to point the weapon back at the officer and shoot. The consequence of this is that any officer who shoots a sub-

ject in this position – either when the weapon is pointed at them or in immediate reaction to that pointing, will hit the subject in the back at very close to a direct 90 entry from the lateral plane of the back. This is a very different angle of entry than the average, uninformed civilian, law enforcement officer or officer of the court would expect.

8 90 DEGREE TURN/ TURN/ WEAPON DISCHARGE /SQUARE BACK

- 50/100ths of a Second


The eighth movement is the 90 degree turn. In

this study the subjects were positioned so they were in front of the camera and facing at a 90 degree angle to the officer/camera, with a weapon in their strong hand and positioned down by their thigh. They were instructed to do the 90 degree turn, and during this turn to actually point the weapon at the officer/camera and pull the trigger. Again, we were not studying the biomechanics of the motion, but simply beginning to establish



P R E C I S I O N A M M U N I T I O N

NOT JUST ANY
AMMO GETS
TO WEAR
THIS BADGE.



▲ TAP Precision uses technologically advanced match bullets for consistent first-shot accuracy.

TAP™ PRECISION™
When lives depend on a single shot from a police sniper, you can



depend on Hornady's TAP Precision ammunition to deliver the surgical strike needed. TAP Precision matches Hornady's newest boat-tail hollow point match-grade bullets with select brass and propellant. The result is first-shot, long-range accuracy you can count on. You deserve ammunition as unique as the challenges you face: TAP from Hornady. To learn more, call

1-800-338-3220,

or visit

www.hornady.com.



OUR REPUTATION RIDES ON EVERY SHOT

Hornady Mfg. Co., P.O. Box 1848, Grand Island, NE 68802-1848

Circle No. 13 on Reader Info Card
PM3079

the time parameters of the motion. It is noteworthy that, in this as well as in all the other motions studied, each person had his own unique way of doing the general movement. Some subjects raised the weapon toward the camera/officer pulled the trigger and turned; others dropped or "charged" their body then started to turn and raise the weapon, all in one motion; others started to turn first and then raised the weapon. Some subjects turned by almost spinning in place and then running away. Others ran and turned and took more than 10 yards to reach the shoulder "square back" position. The timing of the motion started with the first frame the weapon began to move as the subject started to bring it into motion from beside the thigh. The timing stopped when the subject was within 10 degrees of a full square back position facing away from the officer/camera.

In my previous study, the subjects were measured on simply turning. This study had some "street encounter" emphasis in that the subjects had a gun in hand and most accidentally swung or in some way raised the weapon at the officer/camera as they turned. This reflected a street encounter in which the suspect did not

intentionally point the weapon at the officer and discharge it, but did threaten the officer. There have been an undocumented number of street encounters like this where the suspect was armed, facing or walking at a right angle to the officer, and then the suspect initiates or continues his motion into a 90 degree turning movement where they are subsequently facing or moving directly away from the officer. The suspects in these encounters invariably swing the weapon as part of the turning movement. The swing then results in the weapon, at some point, being pointed in or beginning to be pointed in the direction of the officer. The suspect never does discharge the weapon, but by the time the officer has reacted, to believing the weapon is going to be pointed and shot, the suspect has turned and is shot in the back. In my previous study, the average time for the subject to turn 90 degrees was 32/100ths of a second with the fastest being 18/100ths of a second.

Because of the more complicated and extensive motions the subjects went through in this study, the time for the 90 degree turn was relatively much greater than in previous studies but more accu-

rately represents a more dynamic, realistic street encounter, where the suspect actually shoots at the officer. The average time for this motion in this study was 90/100ths of a second, with the fastest being 50/100ths of a second.

A second set of times was taken for each movement to assist in more clearly understanding the 90 degree turn and how it might interface with the threat to the officer and the officer's reaction time. The average time, from start of the motion to discharge and "weapon drop off," was 53/100ths of a second. The average time from weapon "drop off" to the full square back position was 37/100ths of a second.

9 180 DEGREE TURN/ WEAPON DISCHARGE /SQUARE BACK

- 50/100ths of a Second

The ninth movement is the 180 degree turn, and is similar to the 90 degree movement studied previously, in that it more accurately reflected a street encounter where the suspect engages in a more dynamic turn and actually dis-

GET THE TACTICAL EDGE WITH THE "CHALKER SLING"



STILL USING AN "OVER THE SHOULDER" SLING?

ASK YOURSELF WHY MAJOR SWAT TEAMS ACROSS THE COUNTRY AND SOME OF AMERICA'S MOST ELITE SPECIAL OPERATIONS UNITS USE THE CHALKER SLING.

NOW, ASK YOURSELF WHY YOU DON'T USE THE CHALKER SLING!

DESIGNED BY COMMAND MASTER CHIEF (SEAL) DENNIS CHALKER FOR CQB APPLICATIONS, THE CHALKER SLING ELIMINATES PROBLEMS ASSOCIATED WITH CONVENTIONAL SLINGS. IT'S ADJUSTABLE, COMFORTABLE TO WEAR FOR LONG PERIODS OF TIME AND GIVES YOU THE FASTEST MOUNT POSSIBLE.

THE CHALKER SLING CAN BE USED WITH ANY SMG, CARBINE, SHOTGUN, RIFLE OR GAS GUN, AND OUR EXCLUSIVE SNAP SHACKLE FEATURE ALLOWS RAPID HOOK UP OR RELEASE FROM ANY WEAPON. IT'S A "DOOR BUSTIN'" SLING WITHOUT EQUAL!

THE CHALKER SLING CAN BE USED WITH ANY SMG, CARBINE, SHOTGUN, RIFLE OR GAS GUN

NO "LINE OF SIGHT" INTERFERENCE

AMBIEXTIOUS DESIGN

SNAP SHACKLE FEATURE

METRO TACTICAL PRODUCTS
PO BOX 6633 CORONA, CA 91718 800.735.7030 METRO1097@AOL.COM

Circle No. 23 on Reader Info Card

26 November/December 2000

DUELATRON®
TARGET SYSTEMS


- PNEUMATICS
- ELECTRIC
- WIRELESS
- REACTIVE STEEL
- 3D/ROBOTICS
- RUNNING MAN
- PORTABLE TRAPS
- ASSAULT HOUSES

On-Line Catalog www.duelatron.com
Telephone (651) 429-8091
FAX (651) 429-8702
e-mail info@mobius.net



the Targets • the Technology • the Price

Circle No. 24 on Reader Info Card

THE POLICE MARKSMAN



charges a weapon at the officer as they are turning. In this study, the subject was instructed to start by facing directly at the camera/officer with the weapon in a concealed, bootleg position beside and behind the strong side thigh, then to do the turn and actually point the weapon at the officer/camera and pull the trigger. As in the 90 degree study, the motion was very dynamic, varied among subjects, and sometimes covered quite a distance before the subject achieved a full "square back" position.



In my previous study with just the pure motion of the 180 degree rotation, and with the subject (weapon in hand), but only inadvertently pointing it at the officer, the time was 54/100ths of a second, with the fastest being 37/100ths of a second. In this study, the subject directly pointed the weapon at the officer and pulled the trigger while turning. The average time for

this more dynamic motion was 89/100ths of a second, with the fastest being 50/100ths of a second. From the start of the motion to drop off, the average time was 48/100ths of a second. The fastest time was 40/100ths of a second. From "drop off" to square back position the average time was 42/100ths of a second. The fastest time was 10/100ths of a second.

10 180 DEGREE TURN WITH MOMENTUM/ POINT GUN/SQUARE BACK

- 33/100ths of a Second



The tenth motion studied involved the subjects doing a specific movement out of a door and a then a 270 degree turn. This turn is interesting because it was done in close proximity to a wall. That significantly affected the rotation and the direction in which the weapon was pointed. All subjects held the weapon in the direction of the officer for much of the turn as they rotated close to the wall. I also analyzed the main motion and subportions of the motions to be able to extract portions of the turn such as the quarter turn from "drop off." The main result from this study was the time for a dynamic 180 degree turn. In the midst of the 270 degree turn, the

subjects were instructed to point the weapon directly at the officer/camera and continue their motion to a 180 degree turn in the opposite direction from the officer, and run away from the officer. The average time for this dynamic 180 degree turn is 58/100ths of a second. The quickest was 33/100ths of a second. It is also interesting that subjects doing this maneuver covered a long distance before actually completing the turn. Not one subject simply pivoted and turned. Most subjects covered 6 to 10 feet to accomplish this motion in the time reported. I presume this 180 degree turn is faster than the 180 degree turn looked at in motion #9, because the subjects in this segment had already developed momentum before they started into this 180 degree turn.


11 360 DEGREE TURN

- 60/100ths of a Second

The eleventh motion studied was a 360 degree turn, which has happened in a small number of shooting situations where the suspects were shot as they were attempting a 180 degree turn. The suspects then continued the rotation after they were shot and fell to the ground face up. The subjects in this study did not fall to the ground, but they did complete a 360 degree turn. They were instructed to do this as quickly as possible. This motion in particular covered a long distance especially by some subjects, and subsequently the average time was just under 3 seconds. Some subjects traversed almost 15 yards before completing the motion. The closer approximation to a more realistic street encounter, where these shootings have actually occurred,

Elite Tactical and Bodyguard Training!

Now available! Elite, hands on professional training in the isolation of Ground Zero Compound under International expert Mark Yates. Learn all aspects of Tactical and VIP Protection through Basic, Intermediate and Advanced courses. Call or send for info today. Berkeley & Associates. *Redefining Elite for the New Millennium!*



Berkeley & Associates, Inc.
 E-mail: berkassoc@aol.com
 3600 Watermelon Road, Suite 205
 Dept. PM, Northport, AL 35473
 Tel (205) 342-3306
 Website: www.berkassoc.com

was a time of just under a second. The fastest time of 60/100ths of a second was done by a subject who traversed about 4 feet as he did the 360 degree turn.

By studying the "dynamic" rotation, while the subjects were doing a 90, 180 or 360 degree turn, not only can we see that the subjects would be shot in the back if they were actually in a street

in some situations.

All of the responses of the average officer referred to in this study assumes that he is set, and has made the decision to shoot as soon as the subject presents a threat to him. It also assumes the officer is completely focused on the subject and then is able to react to the first movement of the subject. In the real world

triple the reaction time of their trigger pull. This is impossible. Realistically, the way an officer can survive the "Dodge City Showdown" or being a victim of the "Split Second Syndrome," is to (as much as they can) prevent these circumstances from happening at all. If there is one primary lesson for the officer to take from this study, it is the value



encounter, and the officer was to really "react," also, the subjects would be shot at quite a distance from where the officer said they were when the officer made the decision to fire. This study makes it very clear that regardless of the best intentions of the officer, given what the suspect is doing, and how quickly he can do it, the suspect will be shot in the back

this may not be the case – the officer is then forced into playing "catch up." This is really dangerous, particularly now that we know how rapidly these scenarios can develop.

Readers of this article may believe that regardless of how alert or set an officer is to react, the only way to survive some of situations in the street is to

of good basic officer survival skills, such as pre-event decision making, visual and mental alertness, tactical positioning, and always having the suspects keep their hands in plain view. Other supportive tactics like those taught in their academy, presented in magazines like *The Police Marksman* and in texts like **The Tactical Edge** would all be helpful for an officer to survive the unknown threat in the street. So much of any sophisticated skill is always based on a solid foundation of basics. ☆

THE FOLKS AT QUANTICO USE US FOR TARGET PRACTICE.

Steel targets • Pneumatic targets • Pop-up targets • Moving targets • Indoor ranges • Shooting houses • All covered by a lifetime guarantee • For your free catalog call 321-725-9911, fax 321-984-9486, or visit our site at www.portatarget.com

PORTA TARGET

About the Author

Dr. Bill Lewinski has a doctorate in Police Psychology from Union Institute in Cincinnati, Ohio. He is a professor in the Law Enforcement Program at Minnesota State University, Mankato, is on the National Board of Examiners with Goju-Kai Karate-Do USA, and is an ex-lecturer & contributing expert to the Street Survival® Seminars & The Tactical Edge. He lectures and testifies extensively in the area of Performance Psychology in force related matters. He may be contacted at: william.lewinski@Mankato.MSUS.EDU

This work could not have been done without the extensive help of Jeff Nytes, who was a graduate assistant in the Public Administration Program at Minnesota State University, Mankato at the time of this study.

Thank you to Dr. Mark Robbins, Professor, Law Enforcement Program, Minnesota State University, Mankato for his assistance in this research.